



United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,945	10/11/2001	Charles Paclat	THEOR-205.1-US	9612
24972 7	590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			KHATRI, ANIL	
666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2124	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			- / k			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U)			
Office Action Summer.	09/975,945	PACLAT, CHARLES	·			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anil Khatri	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	ctober 2001.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ★ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/2004.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Francis et al* USPN 6,665,861.

Regarding claims 1 and 12

Francis et al teaches:

Application/Control Number: 09/975,945

Art Unit: 2124

(a) analyzing a business domain to determine functional requirements of business domain (column 5, lines 38-46, "EJB component developer... EJB container");

Page 3

- (b) transforming functional requirements into an EJB component model (column 5, lines 38-46, "EJB component developer... EJB container", lines 50-53, "business method... a specialist"); and
- (c) building an BJB component in accordance with BJB component model that encompass the business functionality of said business domain (column 6, lines 5-10, "EJB component...database 350").

Regarding claims 2 and 14

Francis et al teaches:

- modifying said functional requirements by a user (column 5, lines 16-21, "EJB is designed... to the framework"); and
- repeating the steps (b) and (c) to provide a parallel development process (column 6, lines 24-35, "allows designer an EJB... meta data information").

Regarding claim 3

Francis et al teaches:

- EJB components are extensible and configurable (column 5, line 34-, "EJB container provide...").

Regarding claims 4-6

Francis et al teaches:

Art Unit: 2124

- functional requirements include data and process model of business domain (column 5, lines 38-46, "EJB component developer... EJB container", lines 50-53, "business method... a specialist").

Regarding claim 7

Francis et al teaches:

- step of analyzing includes the step of generating e function matrix from list of inputs (figure 7, column 8, lines 38-46, "the persistent storage model contain a table... storage model").

Regarding claims 8 and 11

Francis et al teaches:

- step of transforming transforms said functional requirements using an unified modeling language (UML) tool to generate said EJB component model (columns 6-7, lines 64, UML with the ...collaborative environments").

Regarding claims 9 and 14-16

Francis et al teaches:

- EJB component model includes a plurality of EJB classes (figures 5 and 9, column 5, lines 29-34, "EJB component is a Java class... component class").

Regarding claim 10

Francis et al teaches:

(d) step of building builds said EJB component from at least one of the following class stereotypes: Belonging, Session, Entity, Configurable Entity, Business Policy and Workflow (column 5, lines 38-46, "EJB component developer... EJB container", lines

Application/Control Number: 09/975,945 Page 5

Art Unit: 2124

50-53, "business method... a specialist", column 8, lines 32-36, "figure 6 the EJB... finteger and fstring").

Regarding claim 13

Francis et al teaches:

Step of building includes the step of generating relational mapping and deployment descriptors (figure 5, column 7, lines 53-67, EJB creation tool... distributed manner").

Regarding claim 17

Francis et al teaches:

- EJB component is a Smart component having at least one of following Smart feature: Smart Key, Smart Handle and Smart value (column 6, lines 11-14, "while another specialist creates the additional classes...", lines 19-24, "EJB designer is given a mechanism... deployed EJB").

Regarding claim 18

Francis et al teaches:

- Smart component is an eBusiness Smart component (column 5, lines 10-15, column 8, lines 7-13, "EJB model... to be deployed").

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure..

- USPN 6167563
- USPN 6779177
- USPN 6742015

Application/Control Number: 09/975,945

Art Unit: 2124

- USPN 6715145

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri, Primary Examiner whose telephone number is 703-305-0282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANIL KHATRI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Musi

Page 6